

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/060,409	SAH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne-Marie Falk, Ph.D.	1632	

All Participants:

(1) Anne-Marie Falk, Ph.D.

(2) Lawrence Graham.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2004

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

6-16, 47, 48, 50, 51, 53, 60-69, and 74-78

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Anne-Marie Falk

ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner faxed to the Attorney a proposed Examiner's amendment to bring the case into condition for allowance and the Attorney authorized entry of same. Thus, prosecution on the merits is closed and no further submission is required from Applicant to keep the case pending.